20

21

22

23

24

25

26

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE COUNTY OF KING

CHRISTOPHER A. NIEDERMAN and NICOLE L. NIEDERMAN, husband and wife, and the marital community composed thereof.

NO.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs.

v.

STEVE YANG and SOPHY YANG, husband and wife, and the marital community composed thereof; UMPQUA BANK, a foreign bank corporation,

Defendants.

COME NOW plaintiffs, Christopher A. Niederman and Nicole L. Niederman (collectively the "Niedermans"), by and through their counsel of record, and for causes of action against the defendants above-named, state, allege, and aver as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1.1 The Niedermans are, and at all relevant times have been, a married couple residing in Mercer Island, King County, Washington. The Niedermans are the legal owners of real property located in Mercer Island, King County, Washington.
- 1.2 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Steve and Sophy Yang (individually "Steve" and "Sophy," and collectively the "Yangs") are, and at all relevant times have been, a married couple residing in Mercer Island, King County, Washington.

COMPLAINT - 1

CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S. 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98104-7010 (206) 622-8020

- 1.3 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Steve owns as his individual property real property located adjacent to the Niedermans' real property located in Mercer Island, King County, Washington.
- 1.4 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that defendant Umpqua Bank is a foreign bank corporation that at all relevant times has conducted business in King County, Washington, held real property interests in King County, and has a current and existing secured lending relationship secured by real property owned by Steve and located in Mercer Island, King County, Washington.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this case pursuant to RCW 2.08.010.
- 2.2 This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties given their residences, ownership of real property in King County, Washington, and conduct of business in King County, Washington.
- 2.3 Venue is appropriate in this Court pursuant to RCW 4.12.010(1), as there is a dispute regarding title to real property located in King County, Washington; RCW 4.12.020(3), as the causes of action in this Complaint arose in King County, Washington; and RCW 4.12.025(1), as the Yangs reside in King County, Washington.

III. BACKGROUND FACTS

A. The Niederman Property

3.1 The Niedermans are the owners of the real property located at 6800 SE 96th Ave., Mercer Island, WA 98040, King County Parcel No. 30240-59098 (the "Niederman Property"), and legally described as follows:

PARCEL A:

THAT PORTION OF GOVERNMENT LOT 1, SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 5 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

1	
2	BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1;
3	THENCE NORTH 89°59'33" EAST, ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE THEREOF A DISTANCE OF 185 FEET;
4	THENCE NORTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO A POINT ON A LINE
5	WHICH IS PARALLEL TO AND 60 FEET NORTHERLY OF THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1, WHICH POINT IS
6	THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THE TRACT HEREIN DESCRIBED;
7 8	THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID PARALLEL LINE TO THE PRESENT SHORE LINE OF LAKE WASHINGTON;
9	THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID SHORE LINE, TO THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOT 1;
10	THENCE WESTERLY, ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE 311.94 FEET
11	MORE OR LESS, TO A POINT WHICH IS 10 FEET EASTERLY, MEASURED ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, FROM THE
12	SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID GOVERNMENT LOG 1;
13	THENCE NORTHERLY AT RIGHT ANGLES TO SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, 35 FEET;
14	THENCE EASTERLY TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.
15	TOGETHER WITH SHORE LANDS SITUATE IN FRONT OF, ADJACENT TO AND ABUTTING THEREON.
16	SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF KING, STATE OF WASHINGTON.
17	PARCEL B:
18	AN EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS AS DELINEATED ON
19	THE PLAT OF EVAN'S ADDITION, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME 101 OF PLATS, PAGES 91 AND 92,
20	RECORDS OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON.
21	SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF KING, STATE OF WASHINGTON.
	3.2 The Niedermans purchased the Niederman Property from Kenneth B. Simons
22	and Carol J. Simons (collectively the "Simonses") via a Statutory Warranty Deed recorded on
23	
24	March 17, 2015, King County Recording No. 20150317001129.
25	
26	

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

26

1

3.3 The Simonses purchased the Niederman Property from Richard Foran, Personal Representative for the Estate of James E. Foran, via a Statutory Warranty Deed recorded on June 19, 2003, King County Recording No. 20030619002417.

B. The Yang Property

3.4 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Steve owns as his separate property the real property located at 6660 E Mercer Way (9640 SE 68th St.), Mercer Island, WA 98040, King County Parcel No. 23960-00050 (the "Yang Property"), and legally described as follows:

THAT PORTION OF LOTS 4 AND 5, EVAN'S ADDITION ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME 101 OF PLATS, PAGES 91 AND 92, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, LYING EASTERLY OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED LINE:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4, EVAN'S ADDITION WHICH BEARS S 88' 41'26" E, DISTANT 1 03.38' FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE S 05'41'39" W A DISTANCE OF 102.56' TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4 AND THE TERMINUS OF THIS LINE. TOGETHER WITH SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJOINING AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT; TOGETHER WITH AN UNDIVIDED INTEREST IN TRACT A (COMMUNITY BEACH) AND SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJACENT TO AND ABUTIING THEREON; AND TOGETHER WITH AN EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS AND UTILITY PURPOSES OVER THE 10 FOOT PRIVATE ROAD AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT.

SUBJECT TO: ALL EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS AND RESERVATIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY.

- 3.5 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Steve first acquired an interest in the Yang Property from his parents I-Hsing Yang and Hsu Pei-Yu Yang (collectively "Steve's Parents") via a Quit Claim Deed recorded on June 13, 2013, King County Recording No. 20130613001297.
- 3.6 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Sophy quit claimed her community interest in the Yang Property to Steve through a Quit Claim Deed recorded on June 13, 2013, King County Recording No. 20130613001298.

	3.7	The	Niedermans	are	informed	and	believe,	and	on	that	basis	allege,	that
Steve's	s Parent	s quit	claimed the 1	ema	inder of th	eir in	terest in	the Y	ang	Prop	erty to	Steve	via a
Ouitcla	aim Dee	d rec	orded Mav 4.	201	8. King Co	ountv	Recordin	ng No	. 20	01805	504000)497.	

3.8 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Yang Property is subject to a Deed of Trust in favor of Umpqua Bank in the amount of \$1,900,000, recorded on November 19, 2019, King County Recording No. 20191119001016. The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis alleged, that the \$1,900,000 Deed of Trust secures a construction loan for the Yangs' construction of a new home on the Yang Property.

C. History of the Niederman and Yang Property

- 3.9 The Niederman Property and the Yang Property share a common boundary.
- 3.10 The Niederman Property, the Yang Property, and four adjacent parcels, were originally under common ownership.
- 3.11 In December of 1976, prior to Steve or Steve's Parents acquiring an interest in the Yang Property, what is now the Yang Property, together with four other parcels, were developed as part of the "Evans Addition" as reflected in a recorded Short Plat Dedication, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit A. The Yang Property is referred to as Lot 5 in the Short Plat Dedication. The Niederman Property was not part of the Evans Addition.
- 3.12 Both the lots that make up the Evans Addition, and the Niederman Property, can be accessed only by a private lane as reflected in the Short Plat Dedication.
- 3.13 The Short Plat Dedication further provides that: "THE OWNER AND GUESTS OF THE RESIDENCE AT 6800 96TH SE [the Niederman Property] HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE THE 10' ROAD EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS PURPOSES." To that end, the Short Plat describes and established an easement 10 feet wide

(206) 622-8020

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

that terminates at the Niederman Property, and allows direct access to the private lane from the Niederman Property (the "Easement").

- 3.14 At the time the Short Plat Dedication was created, the Easement allowing the Niederman Property access to the private lane did not cross any portion of what is now the Yang Property. Instead, it crossed what is referenced in the Short Plat Addition as Lot 4.
- 3.15 The Niedermans are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that neither Steve, Sophy, nor Steve's Parents have ever owned Lot 4 to the Short Plat Addition.
- 3.16 In 1994 Steve's Parents, who at the time owned the Yang Property, and the owners of Lot 4, agreed to the Evans/Yang Lot Line Revision (the "Lot Line Revision"), which was recorded under King County Recording No. 9412229001. A true and correct copy of the Lot Line Revision is attached as Exhibit B.
- 3.17 The Lot Line Revision served to increase the square footage of Lot 5 (the Yang Property), and decrease the size of Lot 4. It also relocated what is referred to as a "Vehicle Turn Around Easement" that is used by the Niederman Property and the owners of Lots 1-5 to, *inter alia*, turn cars around to drive up the private lane.
- 3.18 Each of the five parcels in the Evans Addition is subject to a Road Maintenance Agreement for the private lane. The Niederman Property is not, and has never been, subject to the Road Maintenance Agreement.
- 3.19 When the original residence on what is now the Niederman Property was constructed, a driveway was built that was 15-feet wide, and partially overlapped the location of the Easement as set forth in the Short Plat Dedication.
- 3.20 At all times since the original residence was constructed on the Niederman Property in the 1970's, the owners of the Niederman Property have used a 15-feet wide path beginning in the location of the actual driveway located on the Niederman Property to the private lane. This path has never matched the location of the Easement. The Easement as used

26

24

25

(206) 622-8020

differs from the Easement as set forth in the Short Plat Dedication in that it is 15-feet wide instead of 10 feet, and extends 10 feet further east than the Easement as set forth in the Short Plat Dedication.

D. The Niedermans Remodeled the Residence on Their Property in Accordance With All Applicable Legal Requirements

- 3.21 When the Niedermans purchased their real property it had, for many years, a residence located on it with a driveway that did not match the location of the Easement. The driveway in sum occupied the easterly half of the Easement, and extended an additional 10 feet past the easterly edge of the Easement.
- 3.22 The Niedermans made the decision to remodel the existing residence on the Niederman Property.
- 3.23 Prior to beginning construction on the remodel, the Niedermans applied for and obtained all legally required building permits from the City of Mercer Island (the "City"). Part of the permit application process included submitting plans for approval. The plans submitted by the Niedermans, and approved by the City, among other things reflected the location of the Niedermans' proposed new driveway being exactly where the previous driveway had been located since the original residence was constructed in the 1970's.
- 3.24 As part of doing so, the Niedermans retained design professionals, and submitted all required applications to the City of Mercer Island (the "City"). The plans submitted and approved call for a new driveway to be poured in exactly the same location where the previous driveway had been located on the Niederman Property for approximately 40 years, and which did not match the location of the Easement.
- 3.25 Following the Niedermans' submittal of their proposed building plans, which reflected that they did not intend to move the previous location of the driveway, the Yangs had a 30-day period between August 21, 2017 and September 19, 2017 to submit a public comment addressing or objecting to the driveway's location. Despite receiving proper notice of the Niedermans' project, the Yangs submitted no comments.

- 3.26 Following the City's approval of the Niedermans' building permit, under RCW 36.70C.040(3), the Yangs had 21 days to file a LUPA petition challenging the City's decision. The Yangs again failed to act within the statutorily-defined period.
- 3.27 As a result of not acting within the statutorily defined time periods, the Yangs lost any legal right they may have had to object to the location of the Niedermans' driveway.
- 3.28 Part of the Niedermans' construction process involved reconnecting a new gas line from the Niedermans' home to the existing gas line that has for years supplied many of the homes in neighborhood with natural gas, including the Yangs'.
- 3.29 Because approximately five feet of the gas line is located on the Yangs' property, the Niedermans sought the Yangs' permission to reconnect their new gas line, and even offered to make a \$10,000.00 contribution toward the maintenance and improvements to the private lane, despite not being parties to the Road Maintenance Agreement.
- In response, the Yangs asked the Niedermans to first obtain permission from the other neighbors, and indicated that if the Niedermans could do so they too would agree. After the Niedermans received permission from the other neighbors, the Yangs declined to agree.
- As a result of the Yangs' refusal, the Niedermans were forced to drill a new 3.31 gas line at a cost of more than \$22,000.00.

Ε. The Yangs' Pattern of Harassing Activities

3.32 After missing all applicable deadlines to file a comment in response or otherwise object to the Niedermans' building permit application, the Yangs lodged approximately 16 separate, frivolous complaints with the City involving the Niedermans' construction. The City dismissed each and every one of the Yangs' complaints without a finding of wrongdoing or requiring the Niedermans to take corrective action of any kind.

25

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

26

3.33 The Yangs then began a pattern of harassment toward the Niedermans
involving, inter alia, partially blocking the Niedermans' driveway by parking automobiles
adjacent to it; partially blocking the Niedermans' driveway by parking automobiles in the
Turn Around Easement; partially blocking the Niedermans' driveway by placing traffic cones,
signs, emergency tape, and large flower pots so as to restrict ingress and egress to a limited
portion of the driveway; shining a flashlight into the Niedermans' home at approximately
10:00 p.m.; and moving the Niedermans' garbage cans from where they have long been left
for pick-up by Recology, and where Recology instructed the Niedermans they should be
placed.

3.34 Despite repeated requests by the Niedermans that the Yangs stop their pattern of harassing activity, the Yangs have steadfastly refused to do so.

F. The Yangs Knowingly Submitted an Application to the City to Construct a New Residence That Misrepresented the Location of the Niedermans' Driveway

3.35 The Yangs have torn down the residence located on the Yang Property, and are in the process of building a new residence.

- 3.36 As part of their permit application to the City, the Yangs wrongfully submitted proposed plans to the City that failed to reflect the actual location of the Niedermans' driveway as already approved by the City, and as it had been located for more than 40 years.
- 3.37 The Yangs had no basis in fact or law to believe that the Niedermans' driveway was or would ever be constructed as represented on the building plans the Yangs submitted to the City. Certainly the Niedermans never informed the Yangs they intended to place their driveway in a manner than conformed to the Yangs' plans as originally submitted to the City.
- 3.38 The Niedermans accordingly are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Yangs knowingly and intentionally submitted inaccurate building plans to the City with the intent to deceive the City in a wrongful and ineffective attempt to negatively affect the Niedermans' rights.

3.39 After the inaccuracy in the Yangs' building plans was discovered, the City rescinded the Yangs' building permit, forced them to accurately redraw and resubmit new plans that, *inter alia*, accurately reflected the true location of the Niedermans' driveway. The City subsequently issued the Yangs a permit on the express condition that they remove a site plan note expressing possible alteration of the location of the Niedermans' driveway.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 4.1 The Niedermans incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 4.2 There is an actual, present dispute between the parties to this lawsuit, which is, therefore, subject to adjudication by this Court, concerning the size and location of the Easement, and rights to use the Turn Around Easement.
- 4.3 The Niedermans request declaratory relief pursuant to RCW 7.24 *et seq.* that they have and are entitled to a 15-feet wide prescriptive easement running from the private lane referenced above to their driveway as the driveway presently exists and has at all relevant times existed, in an exact location to be proved at the time of trial.
- 4.4 The Niedermans further request declaratory relief pursuant to RCW 7.24 *et seq.* that their prescriptive rights accrued prior to Steve or Sophy owning any interest in the Yang Property, and that as such neither Steve nor Sophy have, or have ever had, the right or ability to affect the Niedermans' prescriptive rights.
- 4.5 The Niedermans also request declaratory relief pursuant to RCW 7.24 *et seq.* that they have full and equal use of the Turn Around Easement, and that the area that comprises the Turn Around Easement, by its very nature, cannot be used for the parking of automobiles, and must be kept clear.
- 4.6 The Niedermans are entitled to recover their attorneys' fees pursuant to RCW 7.28.083(3) and *Workman v. Klinkenberg*, 6 Wn. App.2d 291 (Div. 1 2018).

22

23

24

25

26

V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – QUIET TITLE TO EASEMENT

- 5.1 The Niedermans incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 5.2 The claims and contentions of the Yangs regarding the location and size of the Easement constitute a cloud on the Niedermans' title.
- 5.3 The Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees have, for approximately 40 years, had a 15-feet wide driveway located in a position other than that indicated by the Easement.
- 5.4 The Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees have, for approximately 40 years, driven over the real property owned by Steve and his predecessors and used the Niedermans' entire driveway for ingress and egress.
- 5.5 The actions of and use by the Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees of Steve's real property, which has lasted substantially more than 10 years, has been hostile, actual, open and notorious, continuous and uninterrupted, and over a uniform 15-feet wide route, adverse to Steve and his predecessors, and occurred with the knowledge of Steve and his predecessors at a time when they were able at law to assert and enforce their rights.
- 5.6 For several decades Steve and his predecessors failed to any way oppose, comment on, or attempt to legally prevent the Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees from their open, notorious, and adverse use of a 15-feet wide access and driveway located other than in the location indicated in the Easement.
- 5.7 The use of the 15-feet wide route and driveway by the Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees, has been exclusive to them.
- 5.8 As a result of the above-described actions, the Niedermans have acquired a 15-feet wide easement by prescription in an exact location to be established at trial, and are entitled to a Judgment quieting title over such easement by prescription.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

26

5.9 The Niedermans are entitled to recover their attorneys' fees pursuant to RCW 7.28.083(3) and *Workman v. Klinkenberg*, 6 Wn. App.2d 291 (Div. 1 2018).

VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION QUIET TITLE TO PRESCRIPTIVE EASEMENT FOR USE OF VEHICLE TURN AROUND EASEMENT

- 6.1 The Niedermans incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 6.2 The claims and contentions of the Yangs described above regarding the Turn Around Easement constitute a cloud on the Niedermans' title.
- 6.3 The Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees continuously used the Vehicle Turn Around Easement as reflected on the Short Plat Dedication from its filing until 1994. Their use included, *inter alia*: (a) ingress to and egress from the Niederman Property; (b) turning cars around in connection with ingress to and egress from the Niederman Property; (c) leaving garbage and recycling cans out for pickup as directed and requested by disposal companies; and (d) other related uses.
- 6.4 The above-described use of the Vehicle Turn Around Easement by the Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests was hostile, actual, open, and notorious, and continuous for more than ten years.
- 6.5 As a result of such use, the owners of the Niederman Property obtained prescriptive rights for such uses in approximately 1989, or 10 years after the Short Plat Dedication was recorded.
- 6.6 The 1994 Lot Line Revision slightly relocated the Vehicle Turn Around Easement. Such relocation did not affect the rights associated with the Niederman Property.
- 6.7 Following the Lot Line Revision, the use of the Vehicle Turn Around Easement by the Niedermans, their predecessors, and their guests and invitees did not change in any material way.

6.8	Even	assuming	that	the	Lot	Line	Revision	did	affect	prescriptive	rights
associated w	ith the N	Niederman	Prop	erty,	the N	Nieder	mans, thei	r pre	decesso	ors, and their	guests
and invitees have used the Vehicle Turn Around Easement in a hostile, actual, open and											
notorious, hostile, and continuous manner since 1994.											

- 6.9 The Yangs' recent pattern of harassment and wrongful blocking of the Niedermans' driveway access is of no legal force or effect regarding the Niedermans' prescriptive rights.
- 6.10 As a result of the above-described actions, the Niedermans have acquired a 15-feet wide easement by prescription in an exact location to be established at trial, and are entitled to a Judgment quieting title over such easement by prescription.
- 6.11 The Niedermans are entitled to a Judgment quieting title over an easement by prescription to use the Turn Around Easement for: (a) ingress to and egress from the Niederman Property; (b) turning cars around in connection with ingress to and from the Niederman Property; (c) leaving garbage and recycling cans out for pickup as directed and requested by disposal companies; and (d) other related uses.
- 6.12 The Niedermans are entitled to recover their attorneys' fees pursuant to RCW 7.28.083(3) and *Workman v. Klinkenberg*, 6 Wn. App.2d 291 (Div. 1 2018).

VII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 7.1 The Niedermans incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 7.2 The Yangs intentionally and deliberately engaged in a long-running pattern of wrongful activities directed at the Niedermans in an effort to deprive the Niedermans of quiet enjoyment of their real property and to cause the Niedermans emotion distress. The Yangs have no reasonable basis to belief that the following activities were legal or otherwise appropriate.

- 7.3 The Yangs' wrongful actions include, by way of example, filing numerous frivolous complaints with the City in an attempt to cause the Niedermans to incur additional expenses in connection with their construction project; shining a flashlight into the Niedermans' home at night; repeatedly blocking the Niedermans' driveway with automobiles and other items; and otherwise unreasonably interfering with the Niedermans' use and enjoyment of their home.
- 7.4 The Niedermans have a reasonable belief that the Yangs will continue their now established pattern of harassment unless restrained by the Court.
- 7.5 The Niedermans request entry of a permanent injunction prohibiting the Yangs from: (a) in any way blocking the Niedermans' driveway with cars, traffic cones, flower pots, emergency tape, or in any other manner; (b) moving the Niedermans' garbage and recycling cans from the location in which they have long been left for pickup; (c) shining lights into the Niedermans' home during evening and nighttime hours; (d) making further frivolous complaints to the City or other governmental entity regarding the Niedermans; and (e) otherwise interfering with the Niedermans' quiet enjoyment of their home.
- 7.6 The Niedermans further request monetary damages for the Yangs' wrongful actions in an amount to be determined at trial.


VIII. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION – PRIVATE NUISANCE

- 8.1 The Niedermans incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 8.2 The above-describe actions of the Yangs in blocking the Niedermans' access to their driveway constitutes an actionable private nuisance as defined in RCW Ch. 7.48 *et seq.*
- 8.3 The Niedermans are within the class of persons entitled to bring suit related to such nuisance.

1	8.4 The Niedermans are entitled to a warrant directing the sheriff to prohibit the
2	Yangs from further obstructing their driveway and taking any other actions that constitute a
3	nuisance.
4	8.5 The Niedermans request a penalty against the Yangs as provided for in RCW
5	7.48.250.
6	8.6 The Niedermans further request costs of abatement against the Yangs in an
7	amount to be proven at trial.
8	8.7 The Niedermans further request monetary damages for prior wrongful actions
9	in an amount to be determined at trial.
10	IX. SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION – INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
11	9.1 The Niedermans incorporate by reference each and every preceding allegation
12	as if fully set forth herein.
13	9.2 The Niedermans are entitled to temporary, preliminary, and permanent
14	injunctive relief prohibiting the Yangs from attempting to prevent, limit, or to interfere with
15	the continued use by the Niedermans, or their successors in title, of the Easement and the
16	Turn Around Easement as herein described.
17	X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF
18	WHEREFORE, the Niedermans pray for the following relief:
19	1. That title be quieted in the Niedermans' favor as above-requested against all
20	defendants;
21	2. Judgment against the Yangs in an amount to be determined by the Court,
22	together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum amount provided for
23	under Washington law;
24	3. For a warrant of abatement against the Yangs as requested above;
25	4. An award of their costs and disbursements herein, including reasonable
26	attorneys' fees, jointly and severally against all defendants;
1	

1	5. Injunctive relief as requested above; and
2	6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
3	DATED this 10 th day of May, 2020.
4	CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S.
5	
6	By Mhh in fa
7	Mark Rosencrantz, WSBA #26552 Kenneth W. Hart WSBA #15511
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Exhibit A



DESCRIPTION

Together With the second class shorelands adjoining and lying between the northerly and southerly lines of the above described plat produced easterly.

RESTRICTIONS

Maintenance and repair of the private road, the community beach tract "A" and all storm drainage facilities located within the utility easement, shall be the responsibility of the owners of each lot herein and each lot owner shall pay one fifth (I/S) of the cost thereof. In the event that maintenance and repair of the storm water drainage facilities is not performed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, after a timely demand has been made for such action, the City shall have the right to enter upon the premises and perform the necessary maintenance and repair and charge the owner of each lot for his pro rata share of the total cost of such maintenance and recair. In addition, the City or the owner of any lot shall have the right to bring an action in superior court to require such maintenance and repair to the storm water drainage facilities as is deemed necessary by the City Engineer.

The engineered street, water, sanitary sewer, and storn drainage designs are on file with the City of Mercer Island.

all footing and root drains small be tightlined directly to the storm drainage system.

access to all icts shall be limited to the 10° private road easement.

No land clearing permits will be issued prior to the issuance of building permits for individual single family houses.

No land clearing, filling, or sullding construction shall be allowed in that portion of land lying south of the IC private road easement except with the expressed approval of the Planning Cornission.

SHEET I OF 2 SHEETS

EVAN'S ADDITION


SECTION 30, TWP 24 N., RGE. 5 E., W.M.

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND . KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

A REPLAT OF LOT "C" OF THE DOGAN SHORT PLAT

DEDICATION		PLANNING COMMISSION	s s <u>a</u> s l sa
hereby platted, hereby declare this pl ways shown hereon, except Tract A and necessary slopes for cuts and fills an over and across any lot where water mi	the undersigned, owner in fee simple of the land at and dedicate to the public forever all roads and the ID foot private road, with the right to make all d the right to continue to drain said roads and ways ght take a natural course, in the original reason-	Examined and approved this 5 am Fry	Stephent. austrant
able grading of the roads and ways sho	wh hereon.	Chairman	Secretary
Jon Evans	e		
- yes to to some			
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		CITY COUNCIL	2
STATE OF WASHINGTON 55		Examined and approved his 15	day of January 19.77
the individuals who executed the within sealed the same as their free and volu- mentioned.	of	Rafor Lever	Attest: Kadut Claus
NESS my hand and official seal here	to affixed the day and year first above written.		# 1540 T
10	Notary Public in and for the State of		*1.50
	Washington residing at	TREASURER'S CERTIFICATI	
		I horsely sertify that all city assessments	s on the property berein contained are paid in
	(⊛⊴	full. Inix day of	on the property herein contained are paid in
STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING		tohut Man:	
same as her free and voluntary act and	lay of . 1976, before me, the under- peared IRENE DOGAN to me known to be the Individ- n and acknowledged to me she signed and scaled the ideed for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, to affixed the day and year first above written.		
		711.	
	Notary Public in and for the State of	DEPARTMENT OF ASSESSM	ENTS
	Washington residing at	Examined and approved this	
		Exercises and approved this	. 19
LAND SURVEYOR'S CERT	TIFICATE	King County Assessor	Deputy King County Assessor
hereby certify that this plat of Eva subdivision of Section 30, TWP 24N, RG shown correctly thereon; that the non	n's Addition is based upon an actual survey and E. 5 E. W.M., that the courses and distances are uments will be set, and the lo: and block corners truction is completed, and that i have complied with		
staked correctly on the ground as cons the provisions of the platting regulat	truction is completed, and that I have complied with	COMPTROLLER'S CERTIFICA	ATE
	Howard E. Cornell Professional Land Surveyor	sments certified to this office for collect	are paid, that there are no delinguent assestition and that all special assessments, certified ne property contained, delicated as streets, in full. This 26. day of
	Certifiacte No. 10927	area a facility	11: 1 mention
STORAL LIME		HUBH L. LAMES	DEPUTY KING C. CONFTROMER
K pir rest T Tibes		RECORDING CERTIFICATE	
DEPARTMENT OF SYSTE	MS ENGINEERING	Filed for record at the request of the Mer day of <u>JANUARY</u> , 1977, at and recorded in Volume 101 King County, Washington.	recr Island City Council this 26 16 minutes past $12:00$ P .m., of Plats, pages $91,92$, records of
examined and approved this	11 day of Jamen . 1977 .	DEPARTMENT OF RECORDS AND ELECTIONS	
Phily S. Keightle.	_		
Atty Engineer U		Manager	Superintendent of Records

Exhibit B



MI-94-1039

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON AFFIDAVIT OF OWNERSHIP

LOT LINE REVISION

EVANS/YANG LOT LINE REVISION

(CORPORATE)

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS COUNTY OF KING

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND

CITY ENGINEER

NE'/4 30-24-05 237400-00408 0050

Filed for record at the request of:

Department of Records

SCOTT HOBIE WIET

Examined and approved this A

Department of Assassments

PLANNER SE

DATE

OUND TO BE THE INDONEURAL STEERED HEREIN AND WHO DESCURED THE KNOWN OF BETHE INDONEURAL STEERINENT, AND ACKNOWLEDGED THAT AND FOREGOING INSTRUMENT, AND ACKNOWLEDGED THAT AND DEED, FOR THE USES AND PURPOSES THEREIN MENTIONED. ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME I HSING YOUNG (INDIVIDUAL) 38. STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING CODE OFFICIAL STELLEN R. WILLOW

JULY F. J. M.
NOTAR PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE
STATE OF WASHINGTON, W.F.
RESIDING AT. EMPLY J. F.
M.Y. COMMISSION EXPERS. MAY LT. 1997 GINEN UNDER MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL THIS 25" DAY OF DEPOTE.

Ś

MUMAY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WISHINGTON ON THE MAND TO THE MAND THE MAND TO THE MAND THE MA ON THIS \$2_D or OF OCHORD.

PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTING, DULY COMMISSIONED AND CHIEFLE.

PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTING, DULY COMMISSIONED AND CHIEFLE.

NO THE MONEY PRESIDENCE AND THE PRESIDENCE AND SEMESTREE.

RESPECTIVELY, OF WIGHTHALTEN MALLACL, SHANGS, Edger THE CORPORATION THAT EXECUTED THE STATEOGRAPH STATEOTHER WASHINGTING THE SAID CHORDOSTS THE STATEOTHER. WERE AND ON COMPOSITION, FOR THE USES AND PUBLICATION AND THE SAID.

INSTRUMENT OF THE WERE ANTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THE SAID.

INSTRUMENT AND THAT WERE ANTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THE SAID.

INSTRUMENT AND THAT THE YERE AND THE CORPORATE SEAL OF WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL HERETO AFFIXED THE DAY AND YEAR FIRST ABOVE WRITTEN.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

CALLY OR A STATE OF WASHINGTONE)

STATE OF WASHINGTONE)

COUNTY OF WASH

(CORPORATE)

AND JEAHETTE D. EVANS
TO ME KNOWN TO BE THE INDINDUAL(S) DESCRIBED HERBIN AND WHO EXCLUDED THE WITHIN AND FOREOGNEN INSTRUMENT, AND MEXICAGED THE WITHIN AND FOREOGNE INSTRUMENT, AND MEXICAGED THE SAME AS THELE.

WOUNTRY ACT AND DEED, FOR THE USES AND FURPOSES THEREIN MEXICAGED.

ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED BEFORE ME DONALD L. EVANS

(INDIVIDUAL)

STATE OF WASHINGTON SS.

COUNTY OF KING

GWEN UNDER MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL THIS \$874 DAY OF ORTGODE !!

NOTACK OF CARLEY OF THE ALL ALL STATE OF WASHINGTON CALLY OF ALL ALL OMNISSION STREES. ALL E-99 WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL HERETO AFFIXED THE DAY AND YEAR FIRST ABOVE WRITTEN.

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON RESIDING AT:

DCLABATION: Know all men by these presents that we the undersigned, owners in interest of the State of the described where the state of the series in the series of the series in the series of the se

c. Jan

3

carette

Return to: City of Mercer island Planning Department P. O. Box 1440
Mercer Island, WA 98040-1440 MAP ON FILE IN VAULT

LOT LINE REVISION EVANS/YANG

JOB NO. 90167 2630 116th AVE. N.E., SUITE 101 Bellevue, WA 98004-1459 (206)822-0667 NE/4, NE/4, SEC 30, T24N, R5E, W.M. SHEET 2 DATE 9-27-94 NONE SCALE CHKD BY FT XXX DWN BY

> This map correctly represents a survey made by me or under my direction with the requirements of the Survey Recording Act at the request of EVANS/YANG Certificate No. 18926 19 Supt. of Records day of RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE Page one FRANK R. TARVER M in book Filed for record this at the request of

LOT 4, EVAN'S ADDITION, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF IN VOLUME 101 OF PLATS, PAGES 91 AND 92, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; TOGETHER WITH AN UNDIVIDED INTEREST IN TRACT A (COMMUNITY BEACH) AND SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJACENT TO AND ABUTTING THEREON; LOT 5, EVAN'S ADDITION, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF IN VOLUME 101 OF PLATS, PAGES 91 AND 92, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; TOGETHER WITH AN UNDVIDED INTEREST IN TRACT A (COMMUNITY BEACH) AND SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJACENT TO AND ABUTTING THEREON; SUBJECT TO: ALL EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS AND RESERVATIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY. TOGETHER WITH SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJOINING AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT; SUBJECT TO: ALL EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS AND RESERVATIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY AND TOGETHER WITH AN EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS AND UTILITY PURPOSES OVER THE 10 FOOT PRIVATE ROAD AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT. AND TOGETHER WITH AN EASEMENT FOR INCRESS, EGRESS AND UTILITY PURPOSES OVER THE 10 FOOT PRIVATE ROAD AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT. EGAL DESCRIPTION OF ORIGINAL LOTS

EGAL DESCRIPTION OF REMSED LOT 4

THEN PORTION OF LOTS 4 MID 5, EVAN'S ADDITION ACCIDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME, 101 OF PLATS, PAGES 91 AND '92, IN KING COUNTY, MASHINGTON, LINIG WESTERLY OF THE POLLOWING DESCRIBED LINE.

TOGETHER WITH AN UNDVIDED INTEREST IN TRACT A (COMMUNITY BEACH) AND SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJACENT TO AND ABUTTING THEREON; AND TOGETHER WITH AN EASEMENT FOR INCRESS, EGRESS AND UTILITY PURPOSES OVER THE 10 FOOT PRIVATE ROAD AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT.

SUBJECT TO: ALL EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS AND RESERVATIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY

BEDINING AT A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4, EVAN'S ADDITION WHICH BEARS SERVITYZE, ESSENTIAT 10.38 FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4; THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4. THE TERMINIS OF THIS LINE.

THAT PORTION OF LOTS 4 AND 5, EVAN'S ADDITION ACCREDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED IN VOLUME TO 10 PLATS, PAGES 91 AND 92, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, LYING EASTERLY OF THE POLLOWING DESCRIBED LINE: LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF REVISED LOT 5

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4, EVAN'S ADDITION WHICH BEARS SBEAT'S TE LISTANT 103.38 FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4, THENCE SOSA'138" A DISTANCE OF 102.58" TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 4

TOGETHER WITH AN UNDIVIDED INTEREST IN TRACT A (COMMUNITY BEACH) AND SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJACENT TO AND ABUTTING THEREON; TOCETHER WITH SECOND CLASS SHORELANDS ADJOINING AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT; SUBJECT TO: ALL EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS AND RESERVATIONS OF RECORD, IF ANY AND TOCETHER WITH AN EASEMENT FOR INCRESS, EGRESS AND UTILITY PURPOSES OVER THE 10 FOOT PRIVATE ROAD AS DELINEATED ON THE FACE OF THE PLAT.

4 & 5 EVAN'S ADDITION LOTS

SOM WALL

1994.

SEPT

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE